

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,186	09/10/2003	Kenneth W. Gatten	1-730 7490		
7590 08/06/2004		EXAMINER			
Jeffrey S. Habib, Esq.			SANTOS, ROBERT G		
Hooker & Habib, P.C. Suite 304 100 Chestnut Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3673		
Harrisburg, PA 17101			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/659,186	GATTEN, KENNETH W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert G. Santos	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 S	eptember 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	· (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 3, the term "each" should be changed to the phrase --said at least one--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-10, 13-16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sarkozi '295 (note especially Figures 1-6; column 2, lines 12-25, 44-46, & 58-68; column 3, lines 1-4 & 64-68; and column 4, lines 1-9).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 11, 15, 16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Shang '455 (note especially Figures 1 & 2; column 3, lines 46-67; column 4, lines 1-65).

Claims 1, 2, 7, 12-15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lin '714 (note especially Figures 1-3E, 6-12, 15, 17-18; column 1, lines 59-68; column 2; and column 3, lines 1-18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin '714. Lin '714 does not specifically disclose a condition wherein each pad (1) has a generally rectangular shape of "about 12 inches by 18 inches and a thickness of about 1 inch." It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide each pad of the mattress of Lin '714 with a generally rectangular shape of "about 12 inches by 18 inches and a thickness of about 1 inch", since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Claims 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin '714 in view of Augustine et al. '480. Lin '714 does not specifically disclose a condition wherein the cooling medium is a water-alcohol mixture having a freezing point lower than zero degrees Celsius. Augustine et al. '480 provide the basic teaching of a cooling mattress utilizing different fluids as cooling mediums, the fluids including "glycol-water [and] alcohol-water mixtures" (note especially column 5, lines 45-50 of Augustine et al. '480). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to replace the cooling medium (water) of the

mattress of Lin '714 with a cooling medium which is a water-alcohol mixture having a freezing point lower than zero degrees Celsius since such a modification would have been generally recognized as a substitution of art-recognized equivalents as taught by Augustine et al. '480.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Augustine et al. '427, Stanley et al. '795, Augustine et al. '432, Stanley et al. '948, Augustine et al. '002, Stanley et al. '051, Smith '823, DeGroot '529, Shaw, Jr. '887, McClanahan '411, Bexton '730, Bake, Jr. '050, and Friant '581.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert G. Santos whose telephone number is (703) 308-7469. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fr and first Mondays, 10:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Robert G. Santos Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673

R.S.

August 3, 2004